

| | |
|---|--|
| Application Type | Original BLA |
| STN | 125614/0 |
| CBER Received Date | October 21, 2016 |
| PDUFA Goal Date | October 20, 2017 |
| Division / Office | DVRPA/OVRR |
| Committee Chair | Carmen Collazo-Custodio, PhD |
| Clinical Reviewer(s) | Paula Agger, MD MPH; Rebecca Reindel, MD |
| Project Manager | Ramachandra Naik, PhD; Michael Smith, PhD |
| Priority Review | No |
| Reviewer Name(s) | Rong Fu, PhD |
| Review Completion Date / Stamped Date | |
| Supervisory Concurrence | Tsai-Lien Lin, PhD, Team Leader, Viral and Bioassay Team, VEB/DB/OBE |
| | Amelia Dale Horne, DrPH Branch Chief, VEB/DB/OBE |
| | John Scott, PhD Acting Director, DB/OBE |
| Applicant | GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals |
| Established Name | Zoster Vaccine Non-Live |
| (Proposed) Trade Name | Shingrix |
| Pharmacologic Class | Vaccine |
| Formulation(s), including Adjuvants, etc | After reconstitution, each 0.5 mL dose contains 50 µg of gE recombinant protein, 50 mcg of MPL and 50 mcg of QS-21. |
| Indication(s) and Intended Population(s) | Prevention of herpes zoster (shingles) in adults aged 50 years and older. By preventing herpes zoster, Shingrix reduces the overall incidence of postherpetic neuralgia. |

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Glossary | 3 |
| 1. Executive Summary | 3 |
| 2. Clinical and Regulatory Background..... | 3 |
| 3. Sources of Data and Other Information Considered in the Review | 3 |
| 3.1 Review Strategy | 3 |
| 3.2 BLA/IND Documents That Serve as the Basis for the Statistical Review..... | 3 |
| 4. Discussion of Individual Assays..... | 4 |
| 4.1 Validation of gE (b) (4) for use in in-process samples..... | 4 |
| 4.2 Validation of gE (b) (4) for use in (b) (4), FC, and RV steps | 6 |
| 5. Conclusions..... | 10 |

GLOSSARY

| | |
|---------|------------------------------|
| DoE | Design of Experiment |
| FC | Final Container |
| HZ | Herpes Zoster |
| IC | Internal Control |
| (b) (4) | |
| RSD | Relative Standard Deviation |
| RV | Reconstituted Vaccine |
| SD | Standard Deviation |
| (b) (4) | |
| SOP | Standard Operating Procedure |

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

GSK is seeking licensure of the herpes zoster (HZ) vaccine Shingrix (also referred to as HZ/su), indicated for prevention of herpes zoster (shingles) in adults aged 50 years and older. This review focuses on the validation of an (b) (4) used 1) to determine the identity and gE content for (b) (4) samples of HZ/su, and 2) to determine the identity and dosage of gE antigen as a release and stability test for (b) (4) final container (FC), and reconstituted vaccine (RV). Overall, the validation data appear to support the intended use of the (b) (4) in each of these manufacturing steps.

2. CLINICAL AND REGULATORY BACKGROUND

Shingrix is a sub-unit vaccine consisting of the recombinant Varicella Zoster Virus glycoprotein gE as antigen combined with GSK's proprietary Adjuvant System AS01B. The same (b) (4) method is used to determine the identify and gE content of HZ/su for in-process samples and (b) (4) FC, and RV samples.

3. SOURCES OF DATA AND OTHER INFORMATION CONSIDERED IN THE REVIEW

3.1 Review Strategy

This review summarizes the validation approaches and results for the gE (b) (4) used in different manufacturing steps of HZ/su.

3.2 BLA/IND Documents That Serve as the Basis for the Statistical Review

The following documents submitted to STN 125614/0 m3.2.R were reviewed:

- Analytical method validation report: Improvement of the (b) (4) used for the identification of gE antigen and gE antigen content by (b) (4) in Hz/su vaccine in process sample (Report 9000036619 RVM002_001 Version 02).
- Analytical method validation report: Validation of the (b) (4) used for the identification and dosage of gE antigen by (b) (4) in gE (b) (4) gE final container, and Hz/su vaccine (Report 9000014481 RVM002_001 Version 03).

In addition, I also reviewed the information in STN 125614/0.35 m1.11, which included the applicant's response to CBER's information request dated July 24, 2017 regarding the gE ELISA assay.

4. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL ASSAYS

4.1 Validation of gE (b) (4) for use in (b) (4) samples

Several changes were made to the (b) (4) method used for (b) (4) samples (including (b) (4) after the initial validation. Complementary validation was therefore performed on the optimized method to assess the assay performance regarding trueness, precision, linearity, and range, and to establish new (b) (4). The validation followed the method procedure described in SOP 9000036619 (translation 9000040158 version 02).

(b) (4)


(b) (4)

(b) (4)

(b) (4)

1 page has been determined to be not releasable: (b)(4)

(b) (4)



A large rectangular area of text is completely redacted with a solid gray fill.



A large rectangular area of text is completely redacted with a solid gray fill.




A large rectangular area of text is completely redacted with a solid gray fill.

4.2 Validation of gE (b) (4) for use in (b) (4) FC, and RV steps

The validation followed the method procedure SOP 9000014481 (translation SOP 9000037069 version 03). The validation results are summarized below.

(b) (4)



A rectangular area of text is completely redacted with a solid gray fill.



A large rectangular area of text is completely redacted with a solid gray fill.

4 pages have been determined to be not releasable: (b)(4)